

Supplementary Planning Guidance - Hot
Food Takeaways
15th April 2015

Equality Impact Assessment

Supplementary Planning Guidance - Hot Food Takeaways

Contact: Angela Loftus, Planning & Public Protection Service
Updated: 15/04/15

1. What type of proposal / decision is being assessed?

A new or revised policy

2. What is the purpose of this proposal / decision, and what change (to staff or the community) will occur as a result of its implementation?

The proposal is to seek approval from Planning Committee to adopt Supplementary Planning Guidance for hot food takeaways. If adopted, this will replace the existing Hot Food Takeaways SPG and will be used in determining planning applications.

3. Does this proposal / decision require an equality impact assessment? If no, please explain why.

*Please note: if the proposal will have an impact on people (staff or the community) then an equality impact assessment **must** be undertaken*

No

The proposal is to adopt updated planning guidance relating to new hot food takeaways. The content of the SPG does not set policy but merely provides additional explanation and information for Members, Officers and developers in applying the LDP policies. The LDP underwent a full EqIA in 2010.

4. Please provide a summary of the steps taken, and the information used, to carry out this assessment, including any engagement undertaken

(Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for guidance)

The Denbighshire Local Development Plan (LDP) is the overarching policy document under which all SPG sit and this underwent an EqIA in 2010.

5. Will this proposal / decision have a positive impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; gender-reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)?
(Please refer to section 1 in the toolkit for a description of the protected characteristics)

No

6. Will this proposal / decision have a disproportionate negative impact on any of the protected characteristics (age; disability; gender-reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; and sexual orientation)?

No

7. Has the proposal / decision been amended to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate negative impact? If no, please explain why.

| | |
|----|--------------|
| No | Not required |
|----|--------------|

8. Have you identified any further actions to address and / or monitor any potential negative impact(s)?

| | |
|----|--------------|
| No | Not required |
|----|--------------|

| Action(s) | Owner | By when? |
|-----------|-------|----------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

9. Declaration

Every reasonable effort has been made to eliminate or reduce any potential disproportionate impact on people sharing protected characteristics. The actual impact of the proposal / decision will be reviewed at the appropriate stage.

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| Review Date: | April 2016 |
|---------------------|------------|

| Name of Lead Officer for Equality Impact Assessment | Date |
|---|----------|
| Angela Loftus | 15.04.15 |

Please note you will be required to publish the outcome of the equality impact assessment if you identify a substantial likely impact.
